UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK						
VALVETECH, INC.,						
Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM					
V.	CASE # 17-CV-6788					
AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC.,						
Defendant.						
We the Jury, return the following verdict in <u>ValveTech</u> <u>Inc.</u> , Docket No. 17-CV-6788:	, Inc. v. Aerojet Rocketdyne,					
FIRST CLAIM						

(Breach of 2011 Nondisclosure Agreement)

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc. breached the 2011 nondisclosure agreement?

[\(\sqrt{} \) Yes

[] No

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, proceed to Question 2a. Otherwise, proceed to Question 3.



2a.	Do	you	find	by a	a prep	onde	rance	of	the	evi	dence	that	Defend	ant	Aerojet
Roo	ketd	yne,	Inc.	dis	closed	or	used	Pla	aintif	ff '	ValveT	ech,	Inc.'s	pro	prietary
info	information in violation of the 2011 nondisclosure agreement?														

[√] Yes

[] No

Proceed to Question 2b.

2b. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc. failed to return or promptly destroy Plaintiff ValveTech, Inc.'s proprietary information at Plaintiff ValveTech, Inc.'s request, in violation of the 2011 nondisclosure agreement?

Yes

] No

Proceed to Question 3.

SECOND CLAIM

(Breach of 2017 Nondisclosure Agreement)

3. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc. breached the 2017 nondisclosure agreement?

[/] Yes

[] No

If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, proceed to Question 4a. Otherwise, proceed to Question 5.

Rocketdyne, Inc.	disclosed or used	e of the evidence that Defer Plaintiff ValveTech, Inc.'disclosure agreement?					
	[J Yes	[] No					
Proceed to Question	on 4b.						
Rocketdyne, Inc. f	ailed to return or pration at Plaintiff Valve	e of the evidence that Defer comptly destroy Plaintiff Valve eTech, Inc.'s request, in violation	eTech, Inc.'s				
	[√] Yes	[] No					
Proceed to Question 5.							
	THIR	RD CLAIM					
	(Misappropriat	ion of Trade Secrets)					
▼	• • •	of the evidence that Defer tiff ValveTech, Inc.'s trade sec	•				
	[] Yes	[J] No					
If you answer "Yes" to Question 5, proceed to Question 6. Otherwise, proceed to the "Damages" Section on the next page.							
•	a preponderance of tl damages on its misaj	he evidence that Plaintiff Valv	eTech, Inc. is				
	[] Yes	[] No					

Proceed to the "Damages" Section.

DAMAGES

Only answer Question 7 if you answered "Yes" to Question 1, Question 3, or Question 5. Otherwise, proceed to Question 8.

7. What amount of compensatory damages, nominal damages, or damages for unjust enrichment, if any, is Plaintiff ValveTech, Inc. entitled to recover on the claim(s) that it has proven by a preponderance of the evidence? 350,000

Compensatory Damages:

Foreperson Initials

Nominal Damages:

Redacted

Unjust Enrichment:

[\$ 880,000]. [\$ 1,307,500] [\$ 1,760,000]

Proceed to Question 8.

8. Was your verdict unanimous?

√ Yes

[]No

I certify the above verdict to be true and accurate.

Foreperson Name Redacted

Signature of Foreperson